The Role of Networks on the Internationalisation Process of Small and Medium-sized Companies: propositions for theory development

Autoria: Telma Regina da C. G. Barbosa, Ted Fuller, Cláudio Reis Ferreira

Abstract
Research on SME internationalisation has been mainly normative and deterministic. It has been grounded in the international marketing perspective of larger firms, and concerned with: 1) export decision associated factors; 2) export behaviour and performance determining factors; and 3) successful export strategies. Research has established network relationships as a significant factor in SME growth and development in domestic markets and on the internationalisation of new, high-technology small firms. But none so far has attempted to examine and explain in detail the process of SME internationalisation on the context of evolving relationship networks. This paper proposes the relationship network perspective as a foundation for theoretical development on SME internationalisation. Three issues are considered: 1) SME internationalisation as a strategy of international exchange and growth that evolve on the basis of multilateral relationships; 2) appreciation of the role of relationship network to SME internationalisation; and 3) propositions for a meticulous research agenda. A qualitative approach is proposed. It is argued that investigations on the proposed lines will meet the longstanding need to understand SME internationalisation and point to more efficient and effective ways of supporting SME internationalisation.

1. Introduction
Research on the internationalisation of small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) has been concerned with three major issues: export decision associated factors (for instance, Cavusgil 1984; Aaby & Salter 1989; Bilkey 1978; Ford et al. 1987); export behaviour and performance factors (Gemunden 1991; Holzmuller and Kasper 1991; Dichtl, et al 1990, Reid & Rosson 1987; Beamish & Munro 1987; Denis & Depelteau, 1985; Bilkey 1982, among others); and successful export strategies (Dhanaraj & Beamish 2003; Baird, Lyles, & Orris 1994; Cavusgil & Zou 1994; Namiki 1988; Cavusgil & Naor 1987; Bilkey, 1982 and others).

Major criticisms have been put forward. Most of the SME internationalisation research lacks methodological and analytical strength and has been concerned with export only, focusing on the decision to export, rather than on continuing export (Dhanaraj & Beamish, 2003). It lacks a common SME definition and is short of comparative studies, thus hampering generalisation (Calof 1993; Wolf & Pett 2000). Above all, research on SME internationalisation lacks theoretical foundation. (Gemunden, 1991; Mendenhall, Beaty & Oddou 1993; Ellis 2000; Dhanaraj & Beamish 2003).

There are two major internationalisation theoretical currents: the economic-based theories (Eclectic Paradigm, Transaction-Cost Approach, and Internalisation Theory) explain entry modes and are based on the assumption of rationality and the search of the optimal solution, given the firm’s and environment’s factors (Andersson, 2000). The behavioural-based theories encompass various stage models; the most mentioned being the Uppsala Model and the Innovation Model. They focus on the company’s gradual progress from psychically similar to more dissimilar markets, international experiential knowledge accumulation and increasing resource commitment (Johanson and Vahlne 1977, 1990).

Developed on the bases of large companies, the application of these theories to SMEs has not entirely been supported by empirical findings (Rao and Naidu, 1992; Crick, 1995; Reuber and Fisher, 1997; Zafarullah et al., 1998). The major criticisms are their determinism,
inability to account for environmental influences, and neglect of the decision makers’ roles and perceptions (Andersson, 2000). The process models do not explain how internationalisation starts and how a firm moves from one stage to the next (Andersen 1993), a part from the early stages (Forsgren, 1989). Findings contrary to the psychical distance criteria of market selection (Majkgård and Sharma 1998) and to the incremental path development process (Oviatt and McDougall, 1994; Madsen and Servais, 1997) add on to the criticisms. Researchers have concluded that both currents provide limited explanations on export development process (Leonidou and Katisikeas 1996).

Addressing the need for theoretical foundation, Dhanaraj and Beamish (2003) proposed a Resource-Based SME export model, focusing on firm size, enterprise capability and technological intensity. However, this collides against other findings. Size does not always correlate positively with export development (Leonidou and katsikeas, 1996); the resource-constrained SMEs find alternative ways to leverage their resources (Zacharakis, 1997); and decision-makers’ voluntaristic roles (Andersson, 2000) are not addressed. Clearly, there is a need for further explanation.

International business has recently been viewed as international exchange (Toyne 1989). Exchange implies relationships. International exchanges involve multilateral interactions with a number of actors whose needs should be considered and satisfied in the process (Bagozzi,1975). Thus, international business exchange has been found to take place in a context of international business relationships (Eriksson and Chetty, 2000).

The network perspective of internationalisation, an evolution from the Uppsala Process Model (Johanson and Mattsson, 1988), adopts the point of view of international exchange. Specific firms are engaged in networks of relationships with a number of different actors (competitors, suppliers, customers, government and others), and these relationships are the firm’s most important assets and source of foreign market knowledge and opportunities (Axelsson and Johanson 1992). Some industrial networks will be more international than others and this affects the individual firm’s internationalisation. A company with only local sales may become internationalised if its network gets internationalised. As the company internationalises, the relationships become stronger and new ones are created; international knowledge, resources and access to markets as well as to other networks are acquired through relationships (Johanson and Vahlne,1990).

The aim of the present paper is to propose the network approach as a basis for the development of a SME internationalisation theory. To this end, SME internationalisation is seen as a relationship-based growth strategy, the role of relationships to SME internationalisation is evidenced and a research agenda is proposed.

2- The relevance of networks to SMEs growth and internationalisation

Research has provided evidence on the role of domestic network relationships to SMEs growth. SME’s networking activities have been related strength and competitive advantage (Lechner and Dowling, 2003; BarNir and Smith, 2002; Barringer, 1997;), resources (BarNir and Smith, 2002; Johannisson 1988), trust, reputation and value creation (Gulati 1995), cost reduction and technology access (Barringer, 1997), knowledge and information (Brown and Butler, 1993); credibility and governance (BarNir and Smith, 2002); Within this, the role of the entrepreneur in network building and management is outstanding (Lechner and Dowling, 2003; Premaratne, 2001; Freel, 2000; Johannisson, 1998)

The internationalisation of new venture, high-technology small firm (for instance, Oviatt and McDougall, 1994; Madsen and Servais, 1997 has been found to “driven, facilitated, and inhibited by a set of formal and informal network relationships”, (Coviello and Munro, 1997; Sharma and Blomstero, 2003). However, the application of the relationship
network concept to internationalisation of SMEs at large remains little researched. A review on the major Academic Journals issued from 1988 revealed only six pieces of studies specifically on the subject. Sharma and Johanson (1987) show that internationalisation of consultancy services firms is a network driven process. Chetty and Holm (2000) focus on SMEs’ interactions with network actors and access to market opportunities, knowledge, experience and resources. Eriksson and Chetty (2003) study the impacts of dyadic network relationships on absorptive capacity and perceived lack of foreign market knowledge. Ellis (2000) finds that interpersonal links are the source of foreign market opportunity and knowledge. Ghauri et al. (2003) propose the use of the network approach to help developing Countries’ small firms to overcome export-marketing problems and promote internationalisation. Lee and Jang (1998) address the impact of channel relationship to SME export performance on the basis the relationship marketing paradigm.

A number of other issues on SME internationalisation need to be addressed. This paper addresses the issues of international network formation and network entry; knowledge gaining and accumulation through networks; network development and management.

3 - Propositions on the network role on SME internationalisation.

This paper proposes that SME internationalisation can be explained on the basis of the concept of relationship network. To this end, internationalisation of SME is seen as a growth process realised through social and business relationships developed over time from previous relationships to an array of others. The process is mediated by owner-managers’ perception and relational capabilities and enabled, driven, facilitated and shaped by relationships. Strategically, it initiates as international extension with the establishment of relationships in a foreign market; followed by international penetration with the development of the established relationship and/or new ones in the same country. This is followed by international diversification and finally integration with the establishment and development of relationships in other countries by means of the old relationships and integration of the network thus formed. International knowledge is acquired through realised relationships, and this facilitates international development. Some research issues concerning the major internationalisation decisions as indicated by the traditional theories are presented and discussed next as guidance to future research.

3.1- SMEs international network formation.

The international market entry decisions (IME), encompassing market selection, exchange partner selection and entry mode decision, are amongst the most critical decisions in the internationalisation process since they influence the firm’s commitment of resources, strategy, planning, operations and development (Rosson and Reid, 1987). Despite that, little is known about the process by which foreign markets and specific partners are identified and relationship initiated (Ellis, 2000). The belief is that SMEs rarely adopt the systematic approach to IME (Papadopoulos, 1987), relying on ad hoc, non-rational decisions or unplanned and unsolicited orders (Liang and Parke, 1997; Liang and Stump, 1996).

For the organisation network perspective, IME is a function of the ongoing inter-organisational interactions between the focal firm and its network, and is more likely to be promoted by a network insider that gets motivated towards interacting with that particular firm (Johanson and Vahlne, 1990), such as foreign markets distributors or customers (Johanson and Mattsson, 1988). Exchange risk can be reduced by foreknowledge of potential
partners’ reputation and/or personal experience and relations (Larson, 1992). Thus, it is claimed that

SME international expansion can be prompted by an international network insider who is motivated to interact with that particular SME on the basis of the company’s public reputation or on the experiential knowledge in respect of that company. That is to say, a SME may be pulled into an international network by a network insider located in the specific country of entry on the basis of reputational or experiential knowledge.

An exchange relationship may be initiated by the exporter (seller), by the importer (unsolicited orders), by third parties (business associate, agencies, middlemen, government) or as a result of a chance encounter (including trade-fairs). Non-trade-fair initiated exchange relationships can be influenced by social ties (Ellis, 2000). Internationalisation can also be initiated as a strategy to follow close related clients abroad, despite cultural distance (Majkgård and Sharma, 1998). Hence, it is argued that,

SME international expansion can also be facilitated by previous social ties and/or business relationship. That is to say, a SME may be pushed into an international network by a member of a local network that gets internationalised, or has contacts in foreign markets, and with whom that particular company maintains relationship.

Within a domestic market, a firm networking activities can be facilitated by: firm’s executive’s propensity to network; by the strength of ties in executive’s personal network and by the prestige of the networks members. (BarNir and Smith, 2002). For a small firm, the decision to enter a domestic network is influenced by the owner-managers’ confidence on obtaining the needed support; reliable and relevant information; as well as trustworthy partners from the network (Lechner and Dowling, 2003). Conversely, it is argued that

SME international network entry decision is influenced by the owner-manager’s perceptions and belief on the appropriateness, reliability and promptness of the support, information and partners the network makes available.

Domestic network building is influenced by the overall environment context (Venkataraman and Van de Ven, 1998), the company’s initial sociocentric network (Johannisson, 1998) and by the firm’s relational capability, which appears to be the differential growth factor for SMEs sharing the same regional environment (Lechner and Dowling, 2003). Domestic market entry and expansion is usually aided by marketing networks which will be a source of opportunities. “Seizing these opportunities, however, is influenced heavily by the openness of all the networkers within the firm and therefore by the firm’s culture and management style” (Lechner and Dowling, 2003). It is expected that

SME international network will evolve from local network, derived from owner-mangers’ sociocentric network, and the relational capability of owner-managers will be a SME internationalisation performance determinant.

Foreign partners selected on the basis of personal ties tend to be agent or distributor rather than a Trading Company (Ellis, 2000). Born Globals rely on their network relationships to markets, clients and entry mode selection, each opportunity being analysed in its own merits. Entry mode is usually decided in cooperation to match individual needs of markets, clients, and the company’s (Sharma and Blomstermo, 2003). From a network point of view, foreign market knowledge is characterised as knowledge on business relationships between
the partners, coupled with the network of relationships surrounding them, that is, knowledge of “a customer that is embedded in a business network” (Eriksson and Chetty, 2003). On the basis of that it is argued that

*SMEs enter foreign markets that are known to their exchange partners and that they agree on an entry mode that optimizes the use of their resources and the realisation of their mutual aims.*

3.2- International Information and knowledge

The internationalisation network approach emphasises the importance of firm relationships to foreign knowledge accumulation and learning (Majkgård and Sharma, 1998). Internationalisation is regarded as a ‘set of connected learning processes’, which take place amongst network (Sharma and Johanson, 1987). Early Starters overcome their lack of foreign knowledge through ties with foreign agents (Johanson and Mattsson, 1988). Ties with customers, government organisations and agency also reduce uncertainty (Chetty and Holme, 2000). International experiential knowledge comprises business, institutional and internationalisation knowledge. Born globals actively seek for business knowledge and devote little effort collecting country-specific and institutional knowledge, which is usually provided by their current network ties. (Sharma and Blomstermo, 2003). Network ties also provide random information (contacts by foreign potential clients). Thus, it could be expected that

*The internationalising SMEs rely on their previous relationships, exchange partners and network members for the supply of international knowledge and information and they regard business market as more relevant.*

Firm network ties are firm-specific and affect the availability, timing and transference of information in a way as to privilege firms placed centrally in networks. These firms will receive more, better and immediate knowledge compared to competitors, and this leads to competitive advantages (Sharma and Blomstermo, 2003). Players linked within a given network will share the same information and knowledge. New knowledge and innovations will be transmitted by means of ties that link players to separate clusters (Granovetter, 1982). The differential growth factor for SMEs sharing the same regional environment is the firm’s relational capability (Lechner and Dowling, 2003). While a SME may not be placed in a network central position, it may still be privileged by its relational capability and the development of ties with separate clusters, called here singular ties. Therefore, it is argued that

*Internationalising SMEs’ access to privileged, differential international knowledge and information is dependent on its relational capability and/or on the development of singular ties.*

The scope and diversity of information provided by networks are a reflection of network and members’ characteristics. Information that flows from a high-status network (high-prestigious people) is perceived as more reliable, accurate or usable (BarNir and Smith, 2002). Domestic marketing networks enable the central firm to gain better market information. Domestic knowledge, innovation and technology networks facilitate the domestic firm’s access to, and the creation of, innovation. (Lechner and Dowling, 2003). Therefore, it is argued that
The perception of reliability, accuracy and utility of the international knowledge and information available at, and conveyed by, network depends on the network type, and this maintains important implications for SME’s decision to internationalise.

A firm’s absorptive capacity is related to its process of knowledge accumulation. Eriksson and Chetty (2003), informed by others, explain a firm’s absorptive capacity as its “ability to turn experiences into useful knowledge in an ongoing business”. They argue that the firm’s ability to perceive the value of a piece of new, external information is determined by its prior related knowledge. The firm’s perception changes as experience is accumulated. Hence it is proposed that as

SMEs become more involved with international networks their perception of the relative relevance of international knowledge will change.

A network is comprised of weak and strong ties. Weak ties are loose network connections between otherwise disengaged firms, and are responsible for the spread of a variety of novel and non-redundant information (BarNir and Smith, 2002). Strong ties imply similarity of knowledge between firms due to high level of adaptation and commitment between them (Sharma and Blomstermo, 2003). They provide reliable and easily available information, and facilitate the creation of new knowledge through interactive knowledge sharing, mutual trust, and socialization processes (BarNir and Smith, 2002).

The type of knowledge a company chooses to exploit influences future foreign market engagements (Eriksson and Chetty, 2003). A company with prior, in-depth knowledge of a particular country will benefit more from expanding further within that country than looking for other countries to enter. As relationship deepens, interdependence increases. At the same time, interaction increases and so thus the flow of information each actor brings from their other relationships into the focal relationship. In-depth knowledge is increasingly accumulated and so the company’s absorptive capacity increases. Small software firms’ internationalisation is a pattern that emerges from, and is shaped by an “external web of formal and informal relationships” (Coviello and Munro, 1997). On the basis of that, it is argued that

SME international penetration is dependent on the development of country in-depth knowledge, which, in turn, is influenced by the building up of a relationship to a point of trust, reputation and confidence (strong ties), so that the partners bring into the relationship new knowledge that helps the company to gain new clients (weak ties). That is to say, international penetration will be facilitated by the outer-relationship knowledge the partners bring into the relationship.

The SME international growth will be shaped by the array of network relationships the company is able to develop and maintain.

3.3- International Relationship Development and Management

Johanson and Mattson’s (1988) theory refers to network that evolve organically and naturally. Other researchers refer to unplanned, planned, and structured networks. An unplanned network can be changed to a planned one and that to a structured network (Lorenzoni and Ornati, 1988). These changes, in turn, lead to integration and growth (Baun et
al., 2000). Based on previous argument that SME market entry decision is not based on formally planned strategies, it is argued that the

*SME international growth will be shaped by unplanned network that evolves from past relationships.*

The network perspective of internationalisation addresses the advantages and benefits of maintaining international network relationships. The export marketing and management literature claim that channel relationship factors are keys to the development of competitive advantages and achievement of superior export performance (Ling-Yee and Ogunmokun, 2001). To that end, managers must support a relationship management strategy in order to develop and maintain channel relationships. How can SME international relationships be developed, enhanced and managed?

In the social network theory, relationship is the interaction between actors, also referred to as ties, and as such, can be developed and managed (Sharma and Blomstermo 2003). Relationship can be developed by owner-managers’ voluntaristic actions destined to build trust and to demonstrate commitment. These actions include: time, emotional intensity and intimacy and reciprocal services between actors (Sharma and Blomstermo 2003); proximity and long-term relations (Lechner and Dowling, 2003), spirit of long-term involvement, cooperation and commitment towards the achievement of mutual goals (Dwyer, Shurr and Oh, 1987).

The export management literature, building on the relationship marketing, addresses relationship management from the point of view of international channel relationship, termed relational exchange. Ling-Yee and Ogunmokun (2001) and Yoon et al (1996) suggest a number of tactics aimed at improving customers’ efficiency and competitiveness as a means to relationships building and integration. These tactics include: personal contacts and two-way communication; greater cooperation; frequent information exchange; providing customer services and problem solving, forming joint company/customer teams for opportunity development, among others. In addition, Lee and Jang (1998) maintain that efforts to relational exchange include actions to reduce perceived cultural distance and actions to show commitment to the relationship, such as open communications, selection of staffs with different cultural backgrounds, and training to enhance cultural understanding. Commitment to the relationship can be shown by investing in both tangible and intangible transaction specific assets. On the basis of these arguments it is possible to suggest that

*SME international relationship is developed as a result of owner-managers’ voluntaristic actions and tactics destined to promote mutual goal achievement, to build trust, to show commitment, to enhance both partners’ efficiency and competitiveness and to reduce perceive cultural distance. These tactics can be characterised by the dimensions of time duration, emotional intensity, proximity, reciprocal services, involvement and cooperation to achieve mutual goals. Moreover, relationship building tactics are facilitated by open, two-ways communications and information sharing.*

Lechner and Dowling (2003) informed by others, maintain that firms needs three types of capabilities to manage inter-firm networks: relational capability “to select the right partners and to establish and maintain relationships with other firms”; combinative capabilities to combine different elements and inputs from external sources for the production of complex products; and absorptive capacity to absorb external knowledge and enhance the firm’s competitive position. Thus it can be expected that
A SME needs the relational, combinative and absorptive capabilities to develop international relationships.

As a result of tactics to build relationship, channel cooperation and exchange is achieved. This is indicated by the level of joint decision making regarding foreign marketing activities, the extent of mutual adaptation and commitment to creating export markets differentiation, and the amount of information exchange between partners (Turnbull and Valla, 1985). Other dimensions are the extent to which relations extend beyond just buying and selling and become complex and multidimensional; the degree to which partners expect the relationship to continue indefinitely; and the extent to which solidarity is achieved on the basis of trust and other internal processes rather than on “arm’s-length bargaining and legal enforcements” (Lee and Jang, 1998). Hence, it can be expected that

SME international relationships are characterised by the level of joint international business decision making; the extent of mutual adaptations and commitment destined to differentiation, the amount of strategic information exchange, long-term perspective, solidarity and trust.

Donckels and Lambrecht (1997), following others, posit that small firms social network relations may take different forms, such as consultation of external consultants; attendance of seminars; participation in trade fairs; contacts with regional, national and international entrepreneurs; and discussion of important business decisions with relatives. These authors found that the development of each of these relations is influence by differing entrepreneurs and enterprise-related characteristics. Among these characteristics are entrepreneurs’ level of formal education and number of years at head of company, and enterprise sector and growth orientation. In particular, it was found that international contacts are more likely to happen in the case of highly-trained entrepreneurs, larger small companies, and growth-oriented small firms. It can be expected that

Owner-manager’s and also company’s characteristics will influence the pace and the nature of the relationship development and management.

International exchange and networking involve a trade-off between advantages and disadvantages. The advantages have being extensively considered in the literature, the negative effects have received less attention (Barringer, 1997). Based on a literature review, Barringer (1997) identified 5 dimensions of relational exchange and their positive and negative effects on small firm effectiveness, in a context of small-firm supplier/large-firm buyer. The potential disadvantages varied from reduction in SFs innovation, organisational flexibility, autonomy and risk taking capacity to flexibility; strategic vulnerability. The awareness of potential disadvantages reduces owner-managers’ wiliness to place their strengths at risk for involvement in a relational channel relationship. Thus it is reasonable to expect that

SMEs are likely to face potential negative effects of developing and maintaining relationships in an international context.

4 - Discussions and conclusions

For theory development, other important issues need to be addressed to understand the entire process such as the owner managers’ roles and personality; relationship types; the perceived conditions surrounding SMEs international extensions, penetration and integration;
among others. Moreover, researchers need to be based on a common SME definition and methodology. This would facilitate comparability and generalisation that helps theory building. Qualitative SME definitions and qualitative research methodology should be preferred. Quantitative criteria are too dependent on the firms’ region of origin’s economy and politics. Quantitative criteria, such as firm’s organisational characteristics, can be more cosmopolitan and broadly applied. Qualitative research, based on in-depth interviews for data generation, is more appropriate for theory building and finding out the ontological nature of things. These methodological orientations are in accordance with, for instance, Chetty and Holme (2000) and Ellis (2000).

The propositions above made clearly imply a model of SME internationalisation based on relationship creation and development. Push and pull factors influence SME international penetration but their effect is mediated by the owner-manager’s belief, perceptions and voluntaristic attitudes. Market entry and marketing mix decisions are made in cooperation with international network partners. By developing old relationship and creating new ones in the same country, on the basis of owner managers’ relational capacities and other relational factors, experiential knowledge is accumulated and the company progresses to international penetration. Established relationships help the development of new relationships in different countries and this leads to international diversification and eventually to international integration.

This paper departs from the criticism that traditional theories on internationalisation fail to offer a sound theoretical basis to understanding SME internationalisation thoroughly. The relationship network approach will certainly help to overcome the identified weaknesses. By explaining how international or internationally-related relationships are initiated, developed and managed, it will be possible to explain how the internationalisation process starts and how a company develops internationally. By understanding the entrepreneurs’ and owner managers’ voluntaristic role in international partner selection and relationship creation and management it will be possible to understand better their role in the internationalisation process.
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